

## EPA Official Record

---

**Notes ID:** 1D05DC191BF7D42E852578690054643E

**From:** Dave Dickerson/R1/USEPA/US

**To:** "Leitch, Robert A NAE" <Robert.A.Leitch@usace.army.mil>

**Copy To:** "Mitkevicius, K C NAE" <K.C.Mitkevicius@usace.army.mil>; ElaineT Stanley/R1/USEPA/US@EPA

**Delivered Date:** 02/17/2009 01:09 PM EDT

**Subject:** Re: Modeling Mtg

Bob - I want to make sure we're clear on the direction we've given JE on this. At last week's call we told them that the air modeling to support the LHCC is a higher priority than GW modeling for the DDA. We would only do the GW modeling if it doesn't interfere with all the other tasks we've given them for this year (LHCC cost estimates, Alt. 4 estimates, hybrid est., etc.). So I guess I'm a little surprised to see this being teed-up at this point. They should be concentrating on the air modeling first. Having this call now may send the wrong direction.

Dave

▼ "Leitch, Robert A NAE" <Robert.A.Leitch@usace.army.mil>

<b>"Leitch, Robert A NAE"</b> <b>&lt;Robert.A.Leitch@usace.army.mil&gt;</b> 02/17/2009 12:54 PM		
	To	Dave Dickerson/R1/USEPA/US@EPA
	cc	"Mitkevicius, K C NAE" <K.C.Mitkevicius@usace.army.mil>
	Subject	Modeling Mtg

Hello Dave:

Do you want to participate in the Modeling Mtg this Thursday at 10:00 AM?

The purpose of the call is to discuss what Paul Schroeder of ERDC is doing on his CAD Cell model and what Jacobs is doing on their groundwater model. There may be some overlap in data sets used that can be shared and Jacobs would like to get Paul's initial comments on his experience modeling in NBH.

It would also make sense to engage the ERDC folks performing the hydrodynamic

modeling as well.

What do you think?

Tx

Bob